SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2004 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S111029

A095299 First Appellate District, Division Three DANNENBERG (JOHN E.) ON H.C.

Request for extended media coverage denied

The request for extended media coverage, filed October 29, 2004, having been considered by

the court en banc, is denied.

S127679

B168985 Second Appellate District,

Division Seven

PEOPLE v. GARCIA

Time extended to grant or deny review

to December 2, 2004

S018637

PEOPLE v. HOVARTER (JACKIE RAY)

Extension of time granted

to November 10, 2004 to file appellant's reply brief. Extension is granted based upon Assistant State Public Defender Denise Kendall's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 11/10/2004. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S055652

PEOPLE v. FUIAVA (FREDDIE)

Extension of time granted

to November 24, 2004 to file appellant's reply brief. Extension is granted based upon counsel Michael Satris's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 11/24/2004. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S059653

PEOPLE v. MILLS (JEFFERY JON)

Extension of time granted

to December 21, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel James M. Fahey's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 2/21/2005.

S067353

PEOPLE v. GONZALES (IVAN)

Extension of time granted

to December 27, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief

S110206

PEOPLE v. JACKSON (MICHAEL ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

to December 28, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Mark Hammond's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 7/2005.

S118561

A093424 First Appellate District,

A093649 Division Three

KINSMAN v. UNOCAL CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

to November 16, 2004 for respondents to file the consolidated answer to amici briefs and to the request for judicial notice.

S123074

C042876 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SMITH

Extension of time granted

to November 29, 2004 to file respondent's answer brief on the merits. No further extensions will be granted absent a substantial showing of good cause.

S124851

TURNER (RICHARD DEAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to November 18, 2004 to file the response to petitioner's "Motion for Stay of Post-conviction Proceedings." Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Douglas C.S. Lee's representation that he anticipates filing that response by 11/18/2004. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S126781

MOON (RICHARD) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to December 3, 2004 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 90 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Jason Tran's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 3/1/2005.

S116670

HAWTHORNE (ANDERSON) ON H.C. Order filed

The "Motion to Establish Schedule for Filing of Amicus Briefs," filed October 26, 2004, is granted.

The court sets the following schedule for filing of amicus curiae briefs in this court at this stage of the proceedings. Amicus curiae briefs may be served and filed in letter brief form in the San Francisco office of the Supreme Court on or before November 9, 2004. Any reply to an amicus curiae brief may be served and filed in letter brief form in the San Francisco office of the Supreme Court on or before November 15, 2004.

S128200

CLARK v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Transferred to CA 6

S128453

JOHNSON v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Transferred to CA 2

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

S128834

CROW v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Transferred to CA 5

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.